

Before : Anil H. Laddhad,
Prothonotary & Senior Master
Date : 24th June, 2025

FOR HEARING AND FINAL DISPOSAL :

36 CHOL/10/2025) Mr. Khan Fayzan, Advocate for the applicant.
 in)
 ARBPL/18865/2022) None present for the respondents.
)
) P.C.: The present Chamber Order is taken out by
) the applicant for setting aside Order dated
) 23.01.2024, rejecting captioned Arbitration
) Petition under O.S.Rule 986 for non-
) compliance of office objections.
)
) Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the
) respondents were served with the Chamber
) Order as per Affidavits of Service, which are
) filed on record. However, despite service,
) none appeared for and on behalf of the
) respondents.
)
) Heard Ld. Advocate for the applicant. Perused
) Affidavit / Additional Affidavit in Support. It
) is contended that clerk of Advocate on record
) attempted to comply some of office objections,
) however, due to ill-health, the clerk could not
) comply office objections and even, not inform
) Advocate on Record about non-compliance of
) office objections. It is further contended that
) for the same reason, delay of 152 days caused
) in filing present Chamber Order, which was
) unintentional. Ld. Advocate for the applicant
) submits the applicant has a good case on
) merits, and he will pursue with captioned
) Petition diligently if it is restored to file.
) Hence, it is prayed that captioned Petition may
) be restored to file by condoning delay.
)
) Contentions raised on oath, by the applicant,
) remain uncontested for want of resistance on
) the part of respondents since the respondents
) choose to remain absent despite service of
) Chamber Order. Hence, in my view, the
) applicant by raising aforesaid contentions in

