

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY AND ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.1906 OF 2024

NEGOLICE INDIA LIMITED THROUGH ITS)
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE D.N.SINGH)...APPLICANT

V/s.

NINA CONCRETE SYSTEMS PVT. LTD.)
THROUGH ITS DIRECTORS K.K.PARIKH AND)
M.K.PARIKH)...RESPONDENT

WITH
NOTICE (L) NO.25559 OF 2024
IN
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.1906 OF 2024

Ms.Kiran P. Chandorkar a/w. Mr.Prasad Apte, Advocate for the
Applicant.

Mr.Sahil Mahajan a/w. Mr.Saurabh Godbole and Ms.Siddhi Patil,
Advocate for the Judgment Debtor.

Mr.Mehul Kirit Parikh and Mr.Kaushal Kirit Parikh, Directors of the
Judgment Debtor present in Court.

Mr.D.N.Singh, Authorised Representative of the Applicant.

CORAM : ABHAY AHUJA, J.

DATE : 24th DECEMBER 2025

PC. :

1. When the matter is called out, Mr.Sahil Mahajan, learned
Counsel, appears for the Respondent and at the outset submits that as
directed by this Court, the directors of the Respondent are present in

avk

1/2

Court. Mr.Mahajan also submits that against the award in execution, there is a Petition under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, that has been filed before the Tis Hazari Court at Delhi, and that this Court may, therefore, suitably adjourn the matter.

2. Ms.Kiran Chandorkar, learned Counsel, appears for the Applicant and submits that since the Respondent is represented and also the directors are present in Court and there has been no explanation on record furnished with regard to the satisfaction of the decree, this Court may make the Notice absolute and direct that the execution proceed as per law.

3. Having heard the learned Counsel and having considered the submissions, this Court is of the view that the Notice under Order XXI Rule 22 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 ("CPC") be made absolute.

4. Execution to proceed in accordance with law.

(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)