

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 89 OF 2024
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 103 OF 2005

Gururaj K. Kulkarni

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India Through The Secretary,
Ministry Of Information And Broadcasting

...Respondents

SNEHA
NITIN
CHAVAN

Digitally signed
by SNEHA NITIN
CHAVAN
Date: 2025.01.08
19:51:34 +0530

Mr. Hasan Sayed i/b Rafique Ahmed Shaikh for the Petitioner.
Ms. Vrunda Surve i/b Neeta Masurkar for the Respondent/UOI.

**CORAM : A.S.CHANDURKAR AND
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATE : 8th JANUARY 2025

P.C. :

1. The Petitioner has raised the grievance that despite having succeeded before the Central Administrative Tribunal on 26.03.2004 in the matter of grant of promotion on a notional basis, which order was confirmed in Writ Petition No. 103 of 2005 on 24.04.2018 and the Special Leave Petition preferred by the Union of India was dismissed on 16.02.2024, the order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal has not been implemented.

2. The learned counsel for Respondent No.1 has tendered Affidavit-in-Reply, wherein it is stated that initially the Department intended to file Review Application seeking review of order dated 16.02.2024. However, as an opinion was received against doing so, the

Review Application has not been filed. It is further stated that on 15.07.2024, a direction has been issued by the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting to implement the order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal without treating it as precedent. The learned counsel further submits that on 16.12.2024 further steps have been taken in that regard.

3. To ensure the compliance of the order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, list under caption 'for Directions' on 05.02.2025.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)

(A.S.CHANDURKAR, J.)