

**Before: Shri. P. A. Jagdale,
Officer on Special Duty,
With the Testamentary Department
Date 14th October, 2025**

FOR COMPLIANCE:

33. TP/5932/2024 P. Ms. Minal Parab Ld. Advocate for the Petitioner
(AMH2022000113 C. :
5C202400007)

1) This is a petition for the grant of Letters of Administration, under the Provisions of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 (for short "IS Act"), for the properties left by the deceased, namely Jaykishan Mukundrai Contractor, (For short "Said deceased"). The petitioners, namely 1. Shobha Jaykishan Contractor, 2. Ameet Jaykishan Contractor, 3. Yateesh Jaykishan Contractor & 4. Ameet Jaykishan Contractor Constituted Attorney of Jigna Ajay Kuttavilla, have filed documents.

2) I have heard Ld. Advocate. I perused the documents, such as a true copy of the death certificate of the deceased, an identity proof of the deceased, an oath in the prescribed format, affidavit of service citation.

3) Said deceased died as a married on 17-11-2016 at Mumbai leaving behind him legal heirs, shown in the paragraph No. 4 of the petition. Petitioner states that there are no other legal heirs to the deceased, except heirs shown in the petition. The deceased left properties shown in Schedule I of the petition. The delay has been explained vide Rule 382 of the Rules.

4) Citation was issued, which has been duly affixed on the Notice Board of the Hon'ble High Court and notice board of the Collector's Office at Mumbai and 14 days have been expired vide Rule 397(1) and (4) of the Bombay High Court(O.S.) Rules, 1980(for short "BHC Rules") and an affidavit to that effect has been filed. Notice to the collector has been issued vide Rule 396 of the BHC Rules. Till today, no one has appeared to resist the claim of the petitioner,

nor has anything been brought to the notice regarding pending litigation about the same properties. Hence, the petition is treated as an uncontested one.

5) Petitioner has filed the administration Bond in the prescribed form No. 118 with surety.

6) Ld. Advocate for the petitioner submitted that in view of provisions of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956, the petitioner No. 1 being widow, No. 2, 3 being sons and No. 4 (Ameet Contractor and Yatish Contractor being Power of Attorney of Jigna Kuttavilla of the deceased, are entitled to seek a Letters of Administration. Hence, facts stated by the petitioner, on oath, remained unchallenged and required to be accepted. Accordingly, the petition deserves to be allowed, as prayed for. Hence, following order:

ORDER

- 1) Petition is allowed and the Limited Letters of Administration be granted to the petitioners for properties, left by the deceased and shown in the schedule, in the prescribed format as per the provisions of the Indian Succession Act, 1925.
- 2) The petitioners to file an account as undertaken in a Petitioner's Oath within the stipulated period.
- 3) Before issuance of the grant, the office to verify that there is no cross-petition pending or caveat resisting the petition is filed.

14th October, 2025

Officer on Special Duty,
with the Testamentary Department