

**Before : Shri. P. A. Jagdale,
Officer on Special Duty,
With Testamentary Department
Date : 31st January, 2025**

CALLED FOR HARING :

78. TP/5013/2024

Mr. Jayant Wani i/b. Lakdawala and Co., Advocate
for Petitioner

- P. C. :**
1. Perused Petition. Heard Ld. Advocate for petitioner. This petition is filed by petitioner, being sole beneficiary under the Will executed by T. Meenakshi Achi alias Thiagarajan Meenakshi Achi alias Thiagarajan Meenakshiachi alias T. Meenakshiachi (herein after the same is referred to as “Testatrix”), for grant of a Letters of Administration with Will annexed. Said Testatrix said to have died at Salem, Tamil Nadu on 05/01/2004 Petitioner, namely C. Valliappa alias Chockalingam Valliappa, filed the copy of death certificate, identity proof of the testator, Will, petitioner's oath.
 2. The petitioner has explained the delay vide Rule 382 of the Bombay High Court (Original Side) Rule, 1980(for short “Rules”).
 3. No executor has been appointed under the Will. The petitioner is the sole Legatee under the Will. Hence, petition is tenable.
 4. Petitioner stated that the deceased left behind her last Will and Testament which was duly executed at Salem, Tamil Nadu on 08.12.2003, in English language The original Will is handed in separately for being filed and kept in a safe place in the Office of the Prothonotary and Senior Master, High Court, Bombay.
 5. Ld. Advocate for petitioner submits that parents of deceased predeceased Testatrix and testator was survived by legal heirs, as per the provisions of Hindu Succession Act, 1956.2

CONTD....

– 2 –

78. TP/5013/2024

Legal heirs of the testatrix have consented to the petition and thereby waived the service of Citation. The petitioner affirmed that there are no other legal heirs of the deceased.

6. Citation was issued, which has been duly affixed on the conspicuous part of the Hon'ble High Court and notice board of the Collector's Office at Mumbai and 14 days have been expired vide Rule 397(1) and (4) of the Bombay High Court (O.S.) Rules, 1980(for short "BHC Rules") and an affidavit to that effect has been filed. Notice to collector has been issued vide Rule 396 of BHC Rules. Till today, no one appeared to resist the claim of the petitioner or nothing is brought to the notice regarding pending litigation pertaining to the same properties. Hence, the petition is treated as uncontested one.

7. The petitioner has filed the Affidavit of RAJKUNIAR VELU, one of Attesting Witnesses to the Will, in Form No.102 of Bombay High Court (Original Side) Rules, 1980. He deposed that he was present and deceased signed the Testament in presence of him and another witness, after going through its contents. Hence, enough evidence is on record to accept the execution of the Will and petitioner is succeeded to prove the execution of Will.

8. Properties mentioned in the schedule-I of the petition are referred in the Will.

9. Petitioner has executed the administration Bond in the prescribed format. Hence, following order:

ORDER

- 1) Petition is granted.
- 2) Office to issue Letters of Administration with Will annexed to the petitioner, upon satisfaction that the administration Bond is properly executed, as per the Rules, throughout India.

CONTD....

– 3 –

78. TP/5013/2024

3) Before issuance of Letters of Administration with Will, the office to verify that there is no cross Petition is pending or caveat is filed. Office to act accordingly.

31.01.2025

**Officer on Special Duty,
with Testamentary Department**