

Before: Shri. P. A. Jagdale,
Officer on Special Duty,
With the Testamentary Department
Date: 06th October, 2025

FOR COMPLIANCE:

119. TP/61/2025 PC.: Ms. Pratiksha Koshe i/b Divekar Bhagwat & Co. Ld.
(AMH2024013195 Advocate for the Petitioner
9C202400015)
with
WILL/12/2025

1) This petition is filed by the petitioner, being the beneficiaries under the Will and 1st and 2nd Codicils executed by Arun Yeshwant Javadekar (herein after the same is referred to as "Testator"), for the grant of a Letters of Administration with Will annexed. Said Testator said to have died at Pune on 16/03/2022. Petitioners, namely (1) Amit Arun Javadekar, (2) Atul Arun Javadekar, filed the copy of death certificates, an identity proof of the testator, Will & Codicils along with its official translation and petitioner's oath.

2) Perused petition. Heard Ld. Advocate for the petitioner. Perused documents.

3) No executor has been appointed under the Will. The petitioners are the legatees under the Will. Hence, the petition is tenable.

4) Petitioner stated that the deceased left behind his last Will and codicils, which was duly executed at Mumbai on 01.05.2024, 14.01.2018 and 10.08.2021, respectively, in Marathi language. Its official translation is filed on the record. The Will and codicils are handed in separately for being filed and kept in a safe place in the Office of the Prothonotary and Senior Master, High Court, Bombay.

5) Ld. The advocate for the petitioner submits that the testator is survived by legal heirs, whose details are given in the petition's paragraph No. 08, as per the provisions of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956. The petitioner affirmed that there are no other legal heirs of the deceased, except as mentioned in the petition.

6) Citation was issued, which has been duly affixed on the conspicuous part of the Hon'ble High Court and notice board of the Collector's Office at Mumbai and 14

days have been expired vide Rule 397(1) and (4) of the Bombay High Court (O.S.) Rules, 1980(for short “BHC Rules”) and an affidavit to that effect has been filed. Notice to collector has been issued vide Rule 396 of BHC Rules. Till today, no one appeared to resist the claim of the petitioner or nothing is brought to the notice regarding pending litigation pertaining to the same properties. Hence, the petition is treated as uncontested one.

7) The petitioner has filed the affidavit of Vijay Ayachit an attesting witness to the Will, affidavit of Gajanan D. Jogalekar, attesting witnesses to first Codicil and Ravindra Ramrao Palsokar an attesting witness to Second Codicil, in form No.102 of Bombay High Court (Original Side) Rules, 1980. They deposed that deceased signed the Testament and Codicils in their presence. Hence, enough evidence is on record to accept the execution of the Will and petitioner is succeeded to prove the execution of Will. According to them all additions and alteration in a Will were existed at the time of execution before signing the Will. Hence, there is sufficient compliance of the Rule 383 of the Rules.

8) Properties mentioned in the schedule-I of the petition are referred in the Will.

9) Petitioner has executed the administration Bond in the prescribed format. Hence, following order:

ORDER

- 1) Petition is granted.
- 2) Office to issue Letters of Administration with Will annexed to the petitioner, as per the Rules, having effect throughout India.
- 3) Before issuance of Letters of Administration with Will, the office to verify that there is no cross Petition is pending or caveat is filed. Office to act accordingly.

06th October, 2025

**Officer on Special Duty,
with Testamentary Department**