

Shailaja

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.1146 OF 2024

Dev Sadan CHS Ltd.	]	Petitioners
Vs.		
State of Maharashtra through	]	
Government Pleader Bombay High Court	]	
and others	]	Respondents

.....

Mr. Angad Giri, for Petitioners.

Ms. Vrushali Kabre, A.G.P, for Respondent No.1 -State.

Mr. Ravleen Sabharwal a/w Mr. Mandar Waidande a/w Ms. Aarushi Yadav, for Respondent No.2 – AGRC.

Mr. Saurabh Pakale, for Respondent No.6.

.....

**CORAM : G.S. KULKARNI &
ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.J.**

DATE : 12th JUNE, 2025.

P.C:

1. This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying for the following substantive relief;

“a. That a writ of certiorari, writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction be issued calling for the records and after examining the legality and proprieties of proceeding, be ordered and directed respondent no. 2 to decide the said Application No. 55 of 2023, dated 17th February, 2023 and interim application within time bound schedule”.

2. As clearly seen from the prayer, Application No.55 of 2023 filed by the petitioner on 17th February, 2023 is pending before the Apex Grievance Redressal Committee. The same is required to be taken to its logical conclusion as the petitioner has assailed the action of the Slum Rehabilitation Authority to declare the petitioner's land as a slum under section 3 (C) of the Maharashtra Slum Areas (Improvement, Clearance and Redevelopment) Act, 1971. We do not intend to hear on any other aspects of the matter. We proceed to observe that AGRC shall take up the petitioner's application and decide the same as expeditiously as possible and, in any event, within a period of three months from the date a copy of this order is presented before the AGRC.

3. All contentions of the parties on the pending proceedings are expressly kept open.

4. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms. No costs.

[ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.]

[G.S. KULKARNI, J.]