Amol

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 374 OF 2025

ASAPP Info Global Services Pvt Ltd 2011 12 …Petitioner

Versus

National Faceless Appeal Centre …Respondent

Ms Aarti Sathe, *with Ms Aasavari Kadam, for the Petitioner.*

Mr Akhileshwar Sharma, *with Ms Shradha Worlikar, for the Respondent.*

CORAM **M.S. Sonak &**
Jitendra Jain, JJ.
DATED: **03 March 2025**

PC:-

1. Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2. Ms Sathe, learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that now the surviving grievance is the non disposal of the Petitioner's Appeal against the assessment order dated 24 March 2014 since last almost 10 years.

3. We are most surprised, to say the least that Appeal ITA No.83/2014/15 CIT(A) is pending since the last 10 years. Section 250(6A) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 requires such Appeals to be disposed of within one year from the end of the year in which such Appeal is instituted. Despite this statutory provision, the Appeal is pending and in the meanwhile, even demand notices were issued to the assessee or refunds were adjusted.

4. Accordingly, we direct the appellate authority to dispose of the Petitioner's above referred Appeal as expeditiously as possible and in any event on or before 30 June 2025.

5. Mr Sharma submits that the Petitioner may be directed to furnish a copy of the Appeal memo and impugned assessment order to the present Jurisdictional Assessing Officer to facilitate the disposal of this Appeal. He states that the Jurisdictional Assessing Officer will accept such copies without raising any objections that Appeals are now to be disposed of in a faceless manner.

6. Ms Sathe points out that in the past three occasions copies have been supplied. Still, for some inexplicable reasons, the Appeal is not being disposed of. Without prejudice, she states that within a week of the uploading of this order, copies as requested will be supplied to the Jurisdictional Assessing Officer.

7. This Petition is disposed of with the above directions. All contentions of all parties are left open because we have not examined the matter on the merits.

8. All concerned to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

(Jitendra Jain, J) **(M.S. Sonak, J)**