

Rushikesh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.97 OF 2025

Sadashiv Nagappa Kadam ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Dr. Uday Warunjikar with Mr. Sumit Kate, Adv. Jenish Jain, for the
Petitioner.

Mr. Prashant Kamble, AGP, for the Respondents Nos. 1 and 3.

Mr. Girish Godbole, Senior Counsel with Mr. Dhruvad Patil, Mr.
Huzefa Khokhawala, Mr. Kartik Gupta and Ms Vishwa Shah
i/by Ms Pragati Patil, for the Respondent No. 2.

Mr. Arman Khan, for the Respondent No. 4.

CORAM: SUMAN SHYAM &
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATED: 9th SEPTEMBER 2025.

PC:-

1. Mr. Girish Godbole, learned senior counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2 has invited our attention to Rule 636 of the Bombay High Court (Original Side) Rules, to submit that pursuant to the amendments carried out in the Writ Petition, this matter would now lie before a learned Single Judge. The submission is, however, opposed by Dr. Uday Warunjikar, learned counsel for the Petitioner.

2. Be that as it may, since it is merely a question of listing the Writ Petition before the appropriate Bench, without expressing any

opinion on the merit of the issues, we direct the Registry to verify and post the matter before the appropriate Bench.

3. For the ends of justice, we also direct that the interim order, if any, operating in this case shall continue till the next date of posting.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(SUMAN SHYAM, J.)