

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.610 OF 2024
IN
SUIT NO. 686 OF 2019

ARUN KUMAR KEYAL AND ORS)...APPLICANTS

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN :

ARUN KUMAR KEYAL AND ORS)...PLAINTIFFS

V/s.

AJAY SOHANLAL JHURIA AND ORS)...DEFENDANTS

AND

RICHA SARAOGI)...RESPONDENT

Ms.Harshal Manik a/w Mr.Praveet Shetty i/b Res Legal, Advocate for
the Applicants/Plaintiffs.

Mr.Ajay S. Jhuria- Defendant No.1 in person present.

Digitally
signed by
ARTI
VILAS
KHATATE
Date:
2025.01.16
10:38:07
+0530

CORAM : ABHAY AHUJA, J.

DATE : 15th JANUARY 2025

PC. :

1. When the matter is called out, it is observed from the order dated
6th December 2024 that this Court (Coram : Arif S. Doctor, J.) had
asked the Defendant no.1 to submit the necessary permission to appear
in the matter personally.

2. Mr.Ajay Jhuria – Defendant no.1 appears in person and submits that on last Friday he has, had an interaction with the committee with respect to his application to appear in person.

3. A perusal of the record does not indicate any report from the committee with respect to an interaction on Friday last. What is observed from the record is that there is an office report of the committee which refers to an interaction of 7th October 2023 which records that the earlier committee had declined to grant him permission to appear as party-in-person and again an application had been made, and therefore, the application was filed. However, the said report is of 2023. Since it is being submitted by Mr.Ajay Jhuria that he has had an interaction on Friday last, this Court directs the Registry to place an office report relating to the said interaction and whether Mr.Ajay Jhuria has been permitted to appear as party-in-person in the Suit and the connected Interim Application.

4. After this order is dictated, Mr.Ajay Jhuria also points out that some time be granted to him to appoint lawyer, in the event the committee is not inclined to allow him to appear as party-in-person.

5. Ms.Harshal Manik, learned Counsel, appears for the Applicants in the Interim Application and submits that all that the Interim Application seeks is substitution of Plaintiff no.3 by the Respondent as the present Plaintiff no.3 is the executor under the Will and probate of the same has been granted.
6. Ms.Manik submits that reply to the said Interim Application has also been filed by the Defendant no.1. Ms.Manik seeks some time to file rejoinder to the said reply. Let the rejoinder be filed by the next date with a copy to the other side.
7. Let the committee also place its report before this Court, as above, by the next date.
8. List on 12th February 2025.

(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)