

ANANT
KRISHNA
NAIK

Digitally
signed by
ANANT
KRISHNA
NAIK
Date:
2025.04.17
19:16:43
+0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5043 OF 2024

Sanjivani Suryakant Pawar

...Petitioner

Versus

1. Divisional Chairman/Secretary,
Office of Divisional Chairman/Secretary,
Maharashtra State Secondary & Higher
Secondary Education Board, Division Board
Mumbai, Maharashtra
 2. Regional Deputy Director,
Regional Deputy Director Office, Mumbai Region
 - 3 Education Inspector
Office of Education Inspector (South) Mumbai
 - 4 The Head Master,
Indian Education Society, Digmaber Patkar Vidyalaya
- ...Respondents

Ms. Suchitra S. Naik, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. Kiran Gandhi, Advocate i/b. Little & Co. for the Respondent No. 1
Ms Jayamala Ostwal, Assistant Government Pleader for Respondent Nos. 2
and 3 State.

**CORAM : A. S. CHANDURKAR &
M. M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATED : 17th APRIL 2025

P.C.:

1. It is submitted by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner that within a period of two weeks from today, a fresh application in Form-I would be made before the Respondent No. 3 seeking correction in the date of birth of

the Petitioner. If such an application is made, the Respondent No.3 shall consider the same and take a decision thereon within a period of two weeks of receiving the application.

2. On the Respondent No. 3 permitting such correction, the Respondent No. 4 shall take further steps in the matter with regard to making necessary corrections by submitting Form No. 2.

3. The Writ Petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Needless to state that if the Respondent No. 3 refuses the request made by the Petitioner, the Petitioner is free to seek legal redress in accordance with law.

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)

(A. S. CHANDURKAR, J)