

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO. 2345 OF 2024**

Kanaram Parangi

... Petitioner.

V/s.Chief Executive Officer,
Slum Rehabilitation Authority & Ors.

... Respondents.

Mr. Vinod S. Pandey a/w Mr. Ashwin Tripathi for the Petitioner.

Mr. Yogesh Vijay Patil i/by Mr. Abhijeet Patil for Respondent Nos.1 and 2-SRA.

Mr. Sanjay Kadam a/w Mr. Shrishail Sakhare & Mr. Kamlesh Yadav for Respondent No.3.

**CORAM : A. S. GADKARI AND
KAMAL KHATA, JJ.****DATE : 15th April, 2025.****PC.:-**

- 1) Heard learned Advocate for the Petitioner.
- 2) Admit.
- 3) Though the Respondent No.3 has offered a shop admeasuring 180 sq. ft., more particularly demarcated in the photocopy of the map at its centre, the Petitioner wants a better allocation.
- 4) The issue regarding allotment of shop premises to the Petitioner as per the norms fixed by the competent Authority will be decided at the time of final hearing of the Petition. Hence, no interim relief.

5) Respondent No.3 is directed to keep the demarcated shop gala shown in the draft plan vacant till the final disposal of the Petition.

(KAMAL KHATA, J.)

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)