

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1797 OF 2024

Sainath Hindu Backery
Vs.
State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Petitioner
... Respondents

Mr. Rajesh Khobragade a/w Sanjeevan Gaikwad for Petitioner.
Ms. Usha Rahi, AGP for State.
Ms. Manisha Jagtap for MHADA.
Mr. Shobhit Shukla for Respondent No.5.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
ARIF S. DOCTOR, JJ.

DATE: 14 AUGUST 2025

P.C.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner and respondent No.5 have placed on record consent terms as entered between these parties, by which they state that the dispute between the parties stand resolved, in a manner as agreed in the consent terms.

2. We have perused the consent terms. Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 obviously are not the parties to the consent terms, they are the official respondents as the arrangement as set out in the consent terms, is a arrangement between the private parties.

3. We accept the request as made on behalf of the petitioner and respondent No.5, for the petition to be disposed of, in terms of consent terms. Undertakings any in the consent terms, stand accepted. The consent terms are signed by the authorised signatory under a resolution of the Board of Directors of respondent

No.5, copy of which is annexed to the consent terms, they are also signed on behalf of the petitioner by its authorized representative Mr. Mohan Madhav Kamat. The respective advocates have also signed the consent terms. Accordingly, the consent terms are taken on record and marked “X” for identification.

4. We are informed that the signatories to the consent terms are also present in the Court and are identified by their respective advocates.

5. Parties to act on the authority copy of the order. Certified copy of the order as also of the consent terms be issued to the parties.

6. The petition stands disposed of in terms of the consent terms. No costs.

(ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)