

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4395 OF 2025

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 627 OF 2025

Satpal Mahadev Rupanwar

... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

.... Respondents

.....

Mr. Vaibhav Kulkarni, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Chandrakant D. Mali, APP for the State.

Mr. Ghansham Jadham, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

PSI – Mr. Milind Mithapalli, Walchand Nagar Police Station present.

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 2nd DECEMBER, 2025.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for both the sides.
2. This application is filed for a limited purpose for permitting the applicant to enter the territorial jurisdiction of District-Pune from 25.11.2025 to 08.12.2025 to attend his own marriage scheduled to be held at Kazad, Tal : Indapur, District : Pune, on 05.12.2025.
3. This application is required to be filed in view of the order passed by this Court dated 18.08.2025 in Criminal Appeal No. 627 of 2025 whereby while granting bail the appellant was prohibited from entering

into the limits of Pune District except to attend the hearing before the Trial Court. It is the case of the applicant / appellant that he is getting married on 05.12.2025 at Kazad, Tal : Indapur, District Pune. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the appellant that no prejudice will cause to the other side if he is for limited purpose entered in the area of Kazad, Tal: Indapur, District – Pune, by imposing adequate conditions. He on instructions records undertaking that appellant would not visit any other place than his residence at Jamb, Tal. Indapur, District Pune and place of marriage at 'Kazad', Narutevasti, Tal. Indapur, District Pune.

4. Learned APP as well as learned counsel for the respondent No.2 opposed the application essentially on the ground that serious offences are charged against the appellant and trial is pending. They apprehend the interference of the appellant in the evidence of the prosecution. It was also sought to be argued that appellant is already married and therefore the reason sought to be made out is not genuine. It is pointed out that the application filed before this Court has been affirmed at Pune and which indicates breach of conditions of bail. Learned APP has sought to argue that from the CCTV footage available with the police it could be seen that the appellant has flouted the order passed by this Court and hence he is not entitled for discretionary relief.

5. At the outset, it is to be recorded that it was within the

knowledge of the police that appellant has flouted the order, and hence it was expected that an application is moved for cancellation of the bail however no steps are taken in that regard till date. Though it is argued that the appellant is already married there is nothing on record to indicate so. This Court has granted bail to the accused on 18.08.2025 and till date neither respondent No.2 nor prosecution has alleged interference being caused in the evidence by appellant. It is only after present application is filed by application for relaxation of condition, these objections are raised.

6. The appellant is getting married on 05.12.2025. Needless to say that it is an important event in life of any person. Not only appellant but his fiancée and the entire family would suffer in case condition of bail is not relaxed for the reasonable time. Moreover, for attendance during hearing he is permitted to enter limits of Pune District. Learned counsel for the appellant has placed on record pursis indicating the residential address of the appellant. So also the address of the place where the marriage is to be performed and has undertaken not to visit any other place except these from places. The said statement is accepted as undertaking to the Court.

7. In so far as the allegations of the prosecution with regard to the flouting of conditions of bail, notice be issued to the appellant to show cause as to why bail should not be canceled for the alleged breach of

conditions. Apart from this, issue notice to Mr. Sanjay N. Wahane, Notary, Government of India, Dighi, Pune- 411015 to file affidavit as to the place where the application has been affirmed by him. He is also called upon to produce before this Court the Notary Register. Notices are made returnable on **15th December, 2025**. Registry to ensure that notices are served upon them before the returnable date.

8. In view of the above, following order :

ORDER

- i. The condition imposed by this Court dated 18.08.2025 restraining the appellant to enter into the limits of Pune-District is modified to the following extent:
“The Appellant is permitted to enter the jurisdiction of Village- Jamb, Tal : Indapur, District : Pune from 04.12.2025 to 08.12.2025.”
- ii. The appellant is prohibited from going anywhere else than his place of residence i.e. at : Jamb, Tal . Indapur, District - Pune and the place of marriage at “Kazad, Narutevasti, Tal : Indapur, District : Pune, excluding travel for reaching these places.
- iii. The appellant shall be escorted by a police personnel of the concerned police station. The escort charges to be borne by

the appellant.

- iv. It shall be the responsibility of the police personnel who escort him to ensure that the appellant does not go anywhere else but to his residence and the place of marriage.
- v. Any deviation of this order shall be immediately reported to the police station.

9. The Application is maintained for the purpose of deciding as to whether there is a case made out for cancellation of bail so also to pass further order on the affirmation of the application at Pune.

SONALI
SATISH
KILAJE

Digitally signed
by SONALI
SATISH KILAJE
Date:
2025.12.03
10:47:27
+0700

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)