

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1982 OF 2025
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.4057 OF 2024
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1104 OF 2024
WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1104 OF 2024**

Sanjeeb Uttam Sinaroy ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1618 OF 2025
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.4063 OF 2024
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1106 OF 2024
WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1106 OF 2024**

Anubha @ Zilik Sanjay Hajara ...Applicant/Appellant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Adv. Shriganesh Salba Sawalkar a/w Adv. Vishruti Tari, Adv. Shraddha
Sagvekar and Adv. Tandan Adira, for the Applicant (Discharged).
Mr. Veerdhawal Deshmukh, for the Applicant.
Ms. Sharmila S. Kaushik, APP for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
SHYAM C. CHANDAK, JJ.**

DATED : 08th JULY, 2025

P.C. :-

1) The Applicant is the original Appellant in the aforesaid Appeal.
He has sent a letter through jail for permitting Advocate Veerdhawal

Deshmukh to represent him. The letter mentions that the earlier Advocate on record did not give NOC and therefore, the Court should appoint Advocate Veerdhawal Deshmukh to represent him.

2) Today, both the Advocates i.e. the original Advocate on record and Mr. Veerdhawal Deshmukh are present in the Court. The Advocate on record stated before the Court that he is willing to give NOC to Mr.Deshmukh.

3) In that view of the matter, nothing further survives in this Application. Advocate Mr. Deshmukh is permitted to represent the Appellant/Applicant, on filing his Vakilpatra after obtaining NOC from the Advocate on record.

4) Interim Application No.1982 of 2025 is disposed of.

5) The matters to proceed in due course.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)