

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1532 OF 2025
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 490 OF 2016**

Sadashiv Maruti Deshmukh

...Applicant

Vs.

Suryakant Kalyan Dhawale And Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Manoj M. Sable	Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. Prashant S. Hagare	Advocate for the Respondents.
Mr. B.V. Holambe Patil	APP for the State.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 13th JUNE 2025

LATA
SUNIL
PANJWANI

Digitally
signed by
LATA
SUNIL
PANJWANI

Date:
2025.06.13
14:03:38
+0530

P. C. :-

Heard learned APP, learned Advocate for the Respondent-acquitted accused. The appeal is already admitted.

2. By this interim application, the first informant is praying for intervention and expedite the hearing of the Appeal. It is opposed on behalf of the Respondent for the reason that first informant can file separate Appeal. Certainly, first informant can file separate Appeal but if intervention is allowed, the duplication of work can be avoided.

3. By allowing intervention application, no harm would be caused to the Respondents. Application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (c).

4. The State to implead the present Applicant/Appellant as Respondent No.5 by carrying out the amendment. Amendment be carried out forthwith. Learned Advocate for the Intervenor waives notice.

5. The prayer for expedited hearing will be considered later on. Even if the oral prayer is made, the Court will consider it depending upon the situation. Stand over to **18th July 2025**.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]