

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 426 OF 2025
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3751 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 430 OF 2025
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3766 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 428 OF 2025
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3809 OF 2024**

Suresh Kumar Koshta ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 5333 OF 2024
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3766 OF 2024**

Omprakash Awadhiya ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

Mr. Shirish Gupte, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Amit Icham, Mr. Vinayak Patil and Anant Charkhe, learned Advocates for the Applicant in IA/426/2025.

Mr. Niranjana Mundargi a/w Ms. Keral Mehta i/b Mr. Vaibhav Ramchandra Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant in IA/428/2025.

Mr. Aabad Ponda, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Prashant P. Patil, Mr. Swapnil Ambure, Ms. Harshada Parbhane, Ms. Hetal Jain and Nide Khan i/b Mr. Vinayak Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant in IA/430/2025.

Mr. Rajabhau S. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the Applicant in IA/5333/2024.

Mr. Shishir Hiray (Through VC), learned Spl.PP a/w Mr. Shubham Joshi,

learned A.P.P for the State/Respondent.

Mr. Pandurang H. Gaikwad, learned A.P.P for the Respondent/State.

ACP-2 Crime Pune City Mr. Rajendra Mulik attached to Yervada Police Station, Pune City is present in the Court.

CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

DATE : 16th JULY 2025.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned Advocates for the respective Applicants and Mr. Shishir Hiray, learned Spl.PP for the State/Respondent.

2. By the present Applications, the Intervenors are seeking intervention in the respective Bail Applications. Informant is the father of victim.

3. Mr. Shirish Gupte, learned Senior Advocate, Mr. Aabad Ponda, learned Senior Advocate and Mr. Niranjan Mundargi and Mr. Rajabhau Chaudhari, learned Advocates for the respective Applicants do not object to the intervention applications.

4. Mr. Shishir Hiray, learned Spl.PP for the State/Respondent does not object to the intervention applications and submits that it would be appropriate to permit Intervenors to intervene and hear in the present matters.

5. In view of the statements given by the parties, all the intervention applications are allowed. The Intervenors are permitted to intervene in the abovesaid Bail Applications.

6. All the intervention applications are disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

[ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.]

GITALAXMI
KRISHNA
KOTAWADEKAR

Digitally signed by
GITALAXMI KRISHNA
KOTAWADEKAR
Date: 2025.07.16
20:31:02 +0530