

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.3256 OF 2025

Raju Ajitsingh Vasu @ Taran Ajitsingh Arora ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. Sumeet A. Gemnani i/by S. R. Pille for the Applicant.

Ms. A. A. Deshmukh, APP for the Respondent-State.

IO Krushna Bhoje, PI, Rabodi PS is present.

CORAM : N.R. BORKAR, J.

DATE : 08TH DECEMBER 2025

PC. :

1. This is an Application for anticipatory bail.
2. The Applicant is apprehending his arrest in Crime No.278 of 2025 registered with Rabodi Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 3(5), 316(2), 316(5), 318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
3. It is the case of the prosecution that in the month of January 2025, the first informant had contacted the present Applicant for the purpose of purchasing a Mercedes car. It is alleged that the present Applicant induced the first informant to pay a sum of Rs.29,69,000/- from January 2025 to March 2025 as advance payment for the said purpose. Subsequently, the present Applicant failed to provide the said car as well as did not refund the said amount to the first informant and thereby defrauded him.

Digitally
signed by
MUGDHA
MANOJ
PARANJAPE
Date:
2025.12.09
17:12:51
+0530

4. I have heard Learned Counsel for the Applicant and Learned APP for the Respondent-State.

5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is not the beneficiary of the alleged defrauded amount. It is further submitted that nothing is to be recovered at the instance of the present Applicant and therefore there is no need of custodial interrogation. It is submitted that the amount of Rs.14,00,000/- is already recovered.

6. On the other hand, Learned APP for the Respondent-State submits that an amount of Rs.29,69,000/- was deposited in the bank account of the co-accused on the instructions of the present Applicant. It is submitted that the said amount thereafter on the instructions of the present Applicant was transferred to the various other accounts. It is submitted that the Applicant is involved in four more crimes of similar nature. It is submitted that considering the said fact and nature of crime, the Applicant may not be released on anticipatory bail.

7. I have perused the First Information Report. The allegations of inducement are against the present Applicant. It appears that amount was deposited in the account of the co-accused on the instructions of the present Applicant. The Applicant is involved in four more crimes of a similar nature. Considering the overall facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to release the Applicant on anticipatory bail. Hence, the present Anticipatory Bail Application is rejected.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)