

3. It is the case of the prosecution that in December 2024 the complainant required financial assistance. Accordingly, he approached the applicants Jafar Munna Khan & Salman Munna Khan and borrowed an amount of Rs.24,000/- in his sister's name. However, due to financial difficulties the complainant failed to repay Rs.11,000/-. On 30.06.2025 at about 10:30 p.m., while the complainant was going home, co-accused Sunny and Sudhir approached him on a motor cycle and forcibly took him to applicant Jafar's office. It is alleged that the complainant was assaulted with fist and kick blows as well as with a iron rod and wooden stick by the co-accused. It is further alleged that the complainant was threatened with dire consequences if he failed to return the borrowed amount. The allegations against the present applicants and other co-accused are of abduction to recover the money borrowed by the first informant from one of the co-accused.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicants and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

5. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that there is a delay in lodging the FIR. It is further submitted that false allegations are made against the applicants with some ulterior motive as to avoid the repayment of the loan amount. It is submitted that there is no need of custodial interrogation and the applicants are ready and willing to co-operate in the investigation.

6. On the other hand, the learned APP for the respondent-State submits that the applicants are involved in multiple crimes of similar nature. It is submitted that similar allegations are made by some of the witnesses against the applicants. It is submitted that considering the nature of crime the applicants may not be released on anticipatory bail.

7. Considering the nature of offence and as the applicants are involved in multiple crimes of similar nature, I am not inclined to release the applicants on anticipatory bail. The applications are rejected.

[N.R.BORKAR, J.]