

3. It is the case of the prosecution that in March 2025, the complainant was in need of financial assistance. Accordingly, he approached the applicants and other co-accused and borrowed an amount of Rs.9,500/-. However, due to financial difficulties he failed to repay the interest amount. On 27.06.2025 at about 3.30 p.m. the co-accused approached the complainant on a motor cycle and forcibly took him to applicant Jafar's office. It is alleged that the complainant was assaulted with an iron rod and further threatened him with dire consequences if he failed to repay the interest amount. The allegations against the present applicants and other co-accused are of abduction to recover the money borrowed by the first informant from one of the co-accused.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicants and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

5. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that there is a delay in lodging the FIR. It is further submitted that false allegations are made against the applicants with some ulterior motive as to avoid the repayment of the loan amount. It is submitted that the first respondent has already settled the matter with the applicants. It is submitted that there is no need of custodial interrogation and the applicants are ready and willing to co-operate in the investigation.

6. On the other hand, the learned APP for the respondent-State submits that the applicants are involved in multiple crimes of similar nature. It is submitted that similar allegations are made

by some of the witnesses against the applicants. It is submitted that considering the nature of crime the applicants may not be released on anticipatory bail.

7. Considering the nature of offence and as the applicants are involved in multiple crimes of similar nature, I am not inclined to release the applicants on anticipatory bail. The Applications are rejected.

[N.R.BORKAR, J.]