

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.3216 OF 2025

Jagdish Namdev Raut

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra

... Respondent

Mr. S. B. Talekar a/w Madhavi Ayyappan a/w Aditya Madane i/by Talekar & Associates for the Applicant.

Mr. V. N. Sagare, APP for the Respondent-State.

PI M. K. Kokare, Vartak Nagar PS, Thane City is present.

CORAM : N.R. BORKAR, J.

DATE : 01ST DECEMBER 2025

MUGDHA
MANOJ
PARANJAPE
Digitally
signed by
MUGDHA
MANOJ
PARANJAPE
Date:
2025.12.01
18:56:51
+0530

P.C. :

1. This is an Application for anticipatory bail.
2. The Applicant is apprehending his arrest in Crime No.736 of 2025 registered at Vartak Nagar Police Station, for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
3. The Applicant is Accused No.3 in the aforesaid crime. It is the case of the prosecution that Accused No.1 had started a company namely Samagra Sansiddhi Financials involved in the business of share trading. It is alleged that Accused No.1 induced the complainant to invest in her company and promised good returns. Accordingly, the complainant availed a loan of Rs.2,00,000/- and invested the same with Accused No.1. Initially, upon receiving certain profits, other family members and friends

of the complainant also invested in the said company. However, subsequently, Accused No.1 failed to return the invested amount to the complainant and other investors. The allegations against the present Applicant and other co-accused are of defrauding the complainant and other witnesses to the tune of Rs.41,48,952/-.

4. I have heard Learned Counsel for the Applicant and Learned APP for the Respondent-State.

5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has nothing to do with the alleged crime. It is submitted that the main allegations are against Accused No.1. It is further submitted that there is no need of custodial interrogation and the Applicant is ready and willing to co-operate in the investigation.

6. On the other hand, Learned APP for the Respondent-State submits that the Applicant has received an amount of Rs.64,95,674/- from the Accused No.1. It is submitted that considering the nature of crime, the Applicant may not be released on anticipatory bail.

7. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that there were certain financial transactions between the Applicant and Accused No.1 and the Applicant has returned the amount of Rs.44,00,000/- to her. It is submitted on instructions that the Applicant, without prejudice to his rights and contentions, is ready and willing to deposit the amount of Rs.5,00,000/-.

8. I have perused the First Information Report. The main allegations are against Accused No.1. Considering the said fact, I am inclined to release the Applicant on anticipatory bail. In the result, the following order is passed :

ORDER

- i. The Application is allowed.
- ii. In the event of arrest of the Applicant in connection with Crime No.736 of 2025 registered at Vartak Nagar Police Station, for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the Applicant be released on bail on furnishing PR. Bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.
- iii. The Applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when called by the investigating officer and shall co-operate in the investigation.
- iv. The Applicant shall deposit the amount of Rs.5,00,000/- with the Registry of this Court within a period of four weeks from today.

9. The Anticipatory Bail Application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)