

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2600 OF 2025

1. Amrita Sunil Chugh
 2. Rekha @ Bhavika Raju Kruplani
 3. Muskan Holaram Chugh
@ Muskan Kunal Bhatia
V/s. ...Applicants
- The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent.

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3558 OF 2025

.....
Mr. Rohan Hogle i/b Mr. Gopal V. Bhagat for the Applicants.
Mrs. R.D. Humane, APP for the Respondent/State.
Mr. Ganesh B. i/b Adv. B.D. Shinde for the Intervenor/First Informant in IA/3558/25.
PSI Pramod Patil, Central Police Station present.

.....
CORAM : N.R. BORKAR, J.
DATE : 06.10.2025.

P.C. :

1. This is an application for anticipatory bail.
2. The applicants are apprehending their arrest in Crime No.682 of 2025 registered at Central Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 308(2), 308(6), 316(2), 356(2), 356(3), 79, 351(2) & 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
3. Applicant No.1 is the sister-in-law of the first informant and the applicant Nos.2 and 3 are the sisters of the first informant.
4. The allegations against the present applicants and other co-

accused are of misappropriating the gold ornaments, which were streedhan of the first informant so also posting certain news interview video on You Tube to defame the first informant, owing to a family dispute.

5. I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the applicant, the learned APP for the respondent / State and learned counsel for the intervenor/first informant.

6. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the first informant had lodged one more report against her mother and brother. It is submitted that due to property dispute the present FIR is lodged just to harass the applicants. It is submitted that the applicants are ready to cooperate in the investigation.

7. On the other hand, learned APP for the respondent/State and learned counsel for the intervenor/first informant submit that a news interview video was posted on You Tube to defame the first informant. It is submitted that the applicants misappropriated the gold ornaments of the first informant. It is submitted that to recover the gold ornaments, the custodial interrogation of the applicants is necessary.

8. I have perused the FIR. The main allegations made in the FIR are against the brother and mother of the first informant. Considering the overall facts and circumstances, I am inclined to release the applicants on anticipatory bail. In the result the following order is passed.

ORDER

A) The Application is allowed.

B) In the event of arrest of the applicants in C.R. No.682 of 2025 registered at Central Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 308(2), 308(6), 316(2), 356(2), 356(3), 79, 351(2) & 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, they be released on bail on executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each with one surety or two sureties in the like amount.

C) The applicants shall attend the concerned police station as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall cooperate with the investigation.

9. The Application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

10. The Interim Application stands disposed of.

[N.R.BORKAR, J.]