

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2590 OF 2025

Arvind Kumar Sachdeva & ors.

..Applicants

Versus

**ANAND
SUDHAKAR
SUDAME**

The State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

Digitally signed by
ANAND SUDHAKAR
SUDAME

Date: 2025.09.20
18:40:30 +0530

Mr. Rizwan a/w. Turab Kazmi, Asadali Z. Mazgoanwala, S. Ali Kazmi, Sachi Chopra, Mr. Samarth Sharma, Ms. Tanvi Shah, Mr. Pranit P. Likhite & Mr. Tushar Khatri, Advocates, for the Applicants

Mr. C. D. Mali, APP, for the Respondent – State

Mr. Niranjana Pachupate, Advocate, for Respondent No. 2/First Informant

Mr. Yuvraj Bansode, PSI, Wakad Police Station, Pune present

CORAM : MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.

DATE : 20.09.2025

P. C.

1. At the outset, Mr. Mali, learned APP states that as Anticipatory Bail Application is withdrawn before the learned Sessions Court, this Anticipatory Bail Application is not maintainable. However, learned Counsel for the Applicants states that as ad-interim protection has been rejected, the said Anticipatory Bail Application has been withdrawn before the learned Sessions Judge.

2. Mr. Rizwan, learned Counsel for the Applicants states that in the facts & circumstances, the Applicants will implead the First

Informant/Complainant as party Respondent No. 2. Leave as sought for is granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith. Re-verification is dispensed with.

3. Issue notice to the added Respondent No. 2 returnable on **24.09.2025**. Mr. Pachupate, learned Counsel for Respondent No. 2/First Informant waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent No. 2.

4. Till the next date, no coercive steps be taken against the Applicants.

(MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.)