

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2335 OF 2025

Avinash Mansing Chavan ... Applicant.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent.

Mr. Rupesh Zade, for the applicant.

Mr. Mayur Sonawane, A.P.P. for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : SANDESH D. PATIL, J.

DATE : 16TH SEPTEMBER, 2025.

P.C. :-

1. The respondent No.2 was added as a party. The notice was issued to the Respondent No.2. The remarks shows that the notice is awaited.

2. Since the notice is awaited stand over to **30th September 2025.**

3. The Learned A.P.P. states that the bail was granted subject to the applicant depositing the amount of Rs.3,25,000/- as per the order dated 25.08.2025. He submits that because of this volition, the Court has issued notice to the informant. He submits that since the said amount is not refunded, the interim relief be vacated.

4. Mr. Rupesh Zade, Learned Advocate appearing for the applicant, fairly states that his client has not returned/refunded the amount.

5. Learned A.P.P. insists that the interim relief spelled out in para No.4 of the order dated 25.08.2025 be therefore vacated.

6. I feel that, since the applicant had volunteered deposit the amount of Rs.3,25,000/-, and yet did not fulfill his statement, the interim relief cannot be

continued. I enquired with Mr. Zade as to whether his client is ready to stand by his statement made earlier. Mr. Zade states that his client is not in a position to do so. Hence, the protection granted vide order dated 25.08.2025 as enumerated in para no.4 stands vacated.

7. Stand over to **30th September 2025.**

(SANDESH D. PATIL, J.)