

Arjun

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.2281 OF 2025**

Kiran Manohar Gaikwad ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1722 OF 2025**

Pawan Ramasare Singh ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1728 OF 2025**

Gourav Rajaram Dorage ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.2282 OF 2025**

Omkar Gokul Gaikwad ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Mr. Prashant S. Hagare, for the Applicant in ABA/2281/2025 & ABA/2282/2025.

Mr. Sushil Upadhyay i/b Ankit R. Upadhyay, for the Applicant in ABA/1722/2025.

Mr. Tapan Thatte (Through Video Conferencing) i/b Pritam Bhutada, for the Applicant in ABA/1728/2025.

Mr. Ganesh Bhujbal, for Respondent No.2.

Mr. C. D. Mali, APP, for the Respondent-State.

P.S.I. Gadekar, Yavat Police Station, District - Pune, present.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.
DATED: 16 SEPTEMBER 2025

P.C.:

1. Mr. Upadhyay, learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant in Anticipatory Bail Application No.1722 of 2025, states that the said Anticipatory Bail Application has been wrongly tagged with this group of Anticipatory Bail Applications. Accordingly, Anticipatory Bail Application No.1722 of 2025 is de-tagged from the group comprising Anticipatory Bail Application Nos. 2281 of 2025, 1728 of 2025 and 2282 of 2025.
2. Mr. Bhujbal, learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No.2, states that there is one more Anticipatory Bail Application apart from Anticipatory Bail Application Nos.2281 of 2025, 1728 of 2025 and 2282 of 2025, bearing Anticipatory Bail Application No.1772 of 2025.
3. Accordingly, stand over to **18th September 2025** along with Anticipatory Bail Application No.1772 of 2025.
4. Till the next date, interim protection, if any, shall remain in operation.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]