

sg

26.aba2248,2227-25.docx

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Anticipatory Bail Application No.2248 of 2025

Rohidas Damodar Undre ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

With

Interim Application No.3252 of 2025

In

Anticipatory Bail Application No.2248 of 2025

Sandeep Dnyanoba Undre ... Intervenor

In the matter between

Rohidas Damodar Undre ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

With

Anticipatory Bail Application No.2227 of 2025

Ramdas Shivram @ Shivaji Undre And Anr ... Applicants

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

With

Interim Application No.3250 of 2025

In

Anticipatory Bail Application No.2227 of 2025

Sandeep Dnyanoba Undre

... Intervenor

In the matter between

Ramdas Shivram @ Shivaji Undre And Anr

... Applicants

versus

The State of Maharashtra

... Respondent

Mr Vikas Shivarkar, for the applicant in ABA/2248/2025.

Mr Tejas Pawar, for the applicants in ABA/2227/2025, through VC.

Mr SV Walve, APP, for the respondent/ State.

Mr Sandeep Phatak, for the intervenor in both ABAs.

Coram: R.N. Laddha, J.**Date: 4 September 2025.****P.C.:**Interim Application No.3252 of 2025InAnticipatory Bail Application No.2248 of 2025AndInterim Application No.3250 of 2025InAnticipatory Bail Application No.2227 of 2025

. Heard.

2. For the reasons stated in the intervention applications, and no objection from the other side, the same are allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).
3. The applicants in ABA to implead the intervenor as party respondent to these ABAs. Necessary amendment be carried out within one week from today.
4. The interim applications stand disposed of accordingly.
5. By consent, stand over to **6 October 2025**. The earlier order not to take any coercive action against the applicants in the present crime shall continue to operate till the next date.

(R.N. Laddha, J.)