

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 2054 of 2025

Shakir Mohd. Nasir Sayyed

... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Mr Nadeem M S Shaikh with Mr Mohsin Hawaldar, Ms Muskan Shaikh, for the Applicant.

Mr Arfan Sait, APP, for Respondent / State.

PSI Sanjay Rathod, Uran Police Station, is present.

Coram: R.N. Laddha, J.

Date: 25 July 2025

P.C.:

. The learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that the applicant has neither direct nor indirect involvement in the alleged offence and has been falsely implicated in the present matter. He further submits that there exists no privity of contract between the applicant and the informant, and the entire dispute, which is purely civil in nature, has been deliberately given a criminal colour with the intent to exert undue pressure upon the applicant. The learned Counsel submits that the prosecution's allegation pertains to certain funds which were allegedly credited to the account of the co-accused, Yogesh Naagar. However, the applicant has no

connection whatsoever with the said funds, nor is there any material to suggest his participation, knowledge, or benefit from the alleged transaction. Furthermore, the learned Counsel draws the attention of this Court to the fact that co-accused Faizan Ranani has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in relation to the same transaction, and therefore, the principal of parity should also be considered while adjudicating the present application.

2. The learned APP seeks an adjournment to take instructions. At the request of learned APP, stand over to 22 August 2025. There shall not be any coercive action against the applicant in the present crime till the next date. In the meantime, the applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when required by the investigating officer and cooperate with the investigation.

(R.N. Laddha, J.)