

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Anticipatory Bail Application No.1923 of 2025

Dr Vivek Rasikraj Wayse
Adult 49 years, Indian Inhabitant,
Occ: Profession-Research,
R/at Nyati Equatorial, H-501, Tritonia
Bavdhan, Baramati, Pune-413 102 ... Applicant.

Vs.

1) The State of Maharashtra
(at the instance of Khar Police Station)

2) Ashok T Sadarangani
Adult, Indian Inhabitant,
R/at 103, Oceanic Building,
Carter Road, Bandra (W),
Mumbai-400 050 ... Respondents.

Mr Manuj Borkar for the applicant.
Ms Divya R Tiwari i/by Mr Ranjana Lad for respondent
No.2.
Mr SV Walve, APP for the respondent / State.

**Coram : R.N.Laddha, J.
Date : 12 August 2025.**

P.C. :

Heard Mr Manoj Borkar, the learned Counsel
appearing on behalf of the applicant, Mr SV Walve, the
learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing

respondent No.1/ State, and Ms Divya Tiwari, the learned Counsel appearing for respondent No.2.

2. By this successive application, the applicant seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with CR No.102 of 2022, registered at Khar Police Station, Mumbai, for offences punishable under Sections 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Mr Manoj Borkar, the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant, and Ms Divya Tiwari, the learned Counsel appearing for respondent No.2, jointly submit that the dispute between the applicant and informant has been amicably settled. On instructions, learned Counsel for respondent No.2 states that the informant has received the amounts due along with an additional sum of Rs.10 lakhs as a interest, has no subsisting grievance, and raises no objection to the grant of pre-arrest bail. The learned APP submits that, in view of the settlement, appropriate orders may be passed.

4. After perusing the records, it appears that the genesis of the offence stems from a business transaction. The

involved parties have now reached a comprehensive settlement regarding their dispute, and the informant has received the amounts due from the applicant. The learned Counsel for respondent No.2 submits that, given the settlement, the informant has no objection and consents to granting pre-arrest bail to the applicant. The investigation has concluded, and nothing is to be recovered or discovered from the applicant. In these circumstances, the application stands allowed as follows:

- (i) In the event of the applicant's arrest in CR No.102 of 2022, registered at Khar Police Station, Mumbai, he shall be released on bail upon executing a PR Bond of Rs.25,000/- and furnishing one or more sureties in the like amount.

5. The application stands disposed of accordingly.

[R. N. Laddha, J.]