

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CR. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1389 OF 2025

**HEMANT
CHANDERSEN
SHIV**

Digitally signed by
HEMANT
CHANDERSEN SHIV
Date: 2025.05.22
14:40:28 +0300

Siddhi Taiwade

...Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Mr. Kunal Patil with Prasad Nikam i/b Mr. Prashant Raut for the Applicant.

Ms. S. G. Talhar APP for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Khaire, HC, Yerwada police station present.

CORAM : SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.

**DATE : 21st MAY 2025
(VACATION COURT)**

P.C.:

. The Applicant apprehending her arrest in C.R.No.296 of 2025, registered with Yerwada police station, Pune under Sections 115(2) and 118(1) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, on the report of Minal N. Dalal, has preferred this Application for anticipatory bail.

2) Heard learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned APP for the Respondent. Perused the Application and the investigation papers.

3) The prosecution case is that at the relevant time the Applicant and informant were residing in the same rented premises. On 25/04/2025, at about 14.50 hours, the Applicant kept the door of the room open. The first informant told the Applicant to shut the door. The

Applicant did not shut the door giving excuse that she was sleeping. This resulted in a dispute between the two. Therefore, the Applicant caused burns to the first informant by means of hot iron over, left shoulder, left hand and left thigh. The Applicant also scratched the informant with nails. In this background, the anticipatory bail application filed by the Applicant before the Sessions Court at Pune came to be rejected for the reasons that there is *prima facie* case against the Applicant of the alleged offence. The Medical Officer referred the informant for the opinion by expert for surgery, ortho and plastic surgery. However, till date the Investigating Officer has not collected the medical certificate from the Medical Officer as to whether the injuries suffered by the informant are grievous in nature or not. The learned APP, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, states that the subject iron is seized. Investigation is on the verge of completion and charge sheet will be filed within two weeks. This indicates that the custodial interrogation of the Applicant is not necessary. It is obvious that the incident occurred on account of trifle. The Applicant is student of law submits the learned Advocate. The Applicant has no criminal antecedents and not likely to abscond.

4) In view of the above discussion, I am inclined to allow the Application.

4.1) Hence, following Order is passed :-

(a) Anticipatory Bail Application No.1389 of 2025 is allowed.

- (b) In the event of arrest of the Applicant Siddhi Taiwade in C.R.No.296 of 2025, registered with Yerwada Police Station, Pune for the offence punishable under Sections 118(1), 115 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the Applicant be released on bail on her executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and furnishing one or two sureties in the like amount.
- (c) The Applicant shall attend before the Investigating Officer as and when called for investigation with proper notice in advance.
- (d) The Applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence and threaten the prosecution witnesses.
- (e) Anticipatory Bail Application is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)