

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1348 of 2025

Sanjay Shyamsunder Dubey ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ... Respondents

Mr Tanveer Patel a/w Mr Raul Varde, for the Applicant.

Mr Arfan Sait, APP, for Respondent No.1 / State.

Mr Vaibhav Parab a/w Mr Aditya Walokar, for Respondent No.2.

IO PI Patel, Kalamboli Police Station, Navi Mumbai, is present.

Coram: R.N. Laddha, J.

Date: 20 June 2025

P.C.:

. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was protected by an interim order during the pendency of his anticipatory bail application before the Sessions Court. The learned Counsel further submits that dispute is of a civil nature, arising out of business transactions between two commercial entities. The applicant is neither an employee nor an agent of the informant; rather he is the proprietor of Jyoti Enterprises and, is engaged in the wholesale Steel trade. He has maintained long standing business relations with the informant since 2015. He also submits that one of the co-accused has

already been arrested and subsequently released on bail. There are no criminal antecedents against the applicant.

2. Mr Vaibhav Parab, the learned Counsel appears on behalf of respondent No.2 and seeks time to file reply affidavit. The same shall be filed within one week from today with an advance copy to be served on the other side.

3. By consent, stand over to 25 July 2025.

4. There shall not be any coercive action against the applicant in the present crime till the next date.

(R.N. Laddha, J.)