

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Anticipatory Bail Application No.1225 of 2025

1. Ziyaurraheman Abdul Rahman

Age-45 yrs, Occ: Business.

2. Mehmood Rehmani

Age: 25 yrs, Occ: Business,

Having office at Shop No.35,

S.N.2, Bankar Bazar, Islampura Ward,

Malegaon, District-Nashik.

... Applicants.

Versus

1. Senior Police Inspector,

Malegaon Police Station,

Malegaon, Nashik.

2. The State of Maharashtra

Through Chief Public Prosecutor,

Prosecutor office Hgih court,

A.S.Bombay.

3. Mr Mayank Vinod Doshi

Age: 39 yrs, Occ: Business,

R/o 801, Allamanda Nahar

Amrit Shakti, Chandivali,

Andheri(E), Mumbai-400 072

... Respondents.

Mr Mateen Shaikh for the applicants.

Mr PP Jadhav, APP for the respondents No.1 and 2/ State.

Mr NR Bubna for respondent No.3.

Coram : R.N.Laddha, J.

Date : 20 August 2025.

P.C. :

Heard Mr Mateen Shaikh, the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant/ accused, Mr PP Jadhav, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing respondents No.1 and 2/ State, and Mr NR Bubna, the learned Counsel appearing for respondent No.3/ informant.

2. By this application, the applicant seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with CR No.247 of 2024, registered at Malegaon City Police Station, Nashik Rural, for offences punishable under Sections 420 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the applicant and the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 jointly submit that the dispute between the applicant and the informant has been amicably settled. The learned Counsel for respondent No.3/ the informant submits that the informant has received a partial sum, has no grievance, and expressed his no-objection to the grant of pre-arrest bail. To this effect, the informant and the applicant have

executed consent terms dated 4 August 2025 and placed a copy thereof on record. The learned Counsel for the contesting parties further submit that the parties undertake to abide by the terms of the consent terms. The learned APP submits that, in view of the settlement, appropriate orders may be passed.

4. After perusing the records, it appears that the genesis of the offence stems from a business transaction. The involved parties, identified by their respective Counsel, have now reached a comprehensive settlement regarding their dispute. When questioned, the informant does not object to granting pre-arrest bail to the applicant and reiterates the contents of the consent terms dated 4 August 2025, which is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification. The terms of the consent terms dated 4 August 2025 are accepted as an undertaking to this Court. In the circumstances, this Court is inclined to exercise its discretion in favour of the applicant. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

- (i) In the event the applicant is arrested in connection with CR No.247 of 2024, registered

at Malegaon City Police Station, Nashik Rural, he shall be released on bail on furnishing a PR Bond of Rs.25,000/- with one or more sureties in the like amount.

(ii) The applicant shall abide by the undertaking given to this Court in the Consent Terms dated 4 August 2025 executed between the applicant and the complainant.

(iii) The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence of the prosecution in any manner and shall not influence the witnesses.

5. Needless to say, violation of any of the aforesaid conditions would make the applicant liable to face proceedings for cancellation of anticipatory bail. It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the question of grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant in the present application.

6. The application stands disposed of accordingly.

[R. N. Laddha, J.]