

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1097 of 2025

Kailas Raosaheb Borude

... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

... Respondents

Mr R R Mishra a/w Mr Hasan Shaikh, for the Applicant.

Mr M G Patil, APP, for Respondent / State.

Ms Jahn timer S Karnik, Appointed for Respondent No.2.

IO PSI Kalpana Kale a/w PSI Mahesh More, Sahakar Nagar Police Station, Mumbai, is present.

Coram: R.N. Laddha, J.

Date: 27 June 2025

P.C.:

The learned APP, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, informs the Court that notice has been duly served upon respondent No.2. However, none present for respondent No.2.

2. Ms Jahn timer S Karnik, learned Counsel who is present in the Court, is appointed as Advocate to espouse the cause of respondent No.2. Legal fees to be paid by the High Court Legal Service Committee. The applicant to provide a copy of this application and other relevant papers to the learned Advocate so appointed.

3. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the applicant and the informant were previously in a love relationship. Upon learning of this relationship, the informant's mother and brother compelled her to lodge the complaint. The applicant and the informant were legally married on 27 December 2024, as evidenced by a Marriage Certificate issued by the competent authority. Although the FIR alleges that the applicant threatened the informant on 25 December 2024, it is brought to the Court's attention that both the applicant and the informant jointly informed the concerned police station about their marriage. Additionally a joint affidavit filed by the applicant and the informant affirms their marriage on 27 December 2024.

4. By consent, stand over to 31 July 2025.

5. In the meantime, there shall not be any coercive action against the applicant in the present crime till the next date.

(R.N. Laddha, J.)