

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1031 OF 2025

Akshay Dilip Deshmukh	...Applicant
<i>Versus</i>	
The State of Maharashtra & Anr.	...Respondents

Mr. Vaibhav Gaikwad (through Video Conferencing) a/w Mr. Deva L. Shinde i/b Mr. Raviraj Paramane, for the Applicant.
Ms. Priyanka H. Chavan (through Video Conferencing) a/w Mr. Aditya Shinde, for the Respondent No.2.
Mr. S. A. Karmarkar, APP for the Respondent–State.
Ms. Snehal Patil, PSI, Chaturshringi Police Station, Pune, present.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.
DATED: 24 SEPTEMBER 2025

PC:-

1. Ms. Priyanka Chavan, learned Counsel appointed through the High Court Legal Services Committee, Mumbai for the Respondent No. 2, states that she is in personal difficulty and therefore, she will not be able to appear for few days. Accordingly, Ms. Priyanka Chavan, learned Counsel is discharged from this case. She further states that the Respondent No.2 has informed her that she would be engaging a private Advocate. However, today none appears for the Respondent No.2.

2. Accordingly, to give one more opportunity to the Respondent No.2, stand over to **26th September 2025**. The Investigating Officer shall communicate to the Respondent No.2 i.e. First Informant about the next date of hearing of this Anticipatory Bail Application.

3. It is made clear that, if on the next date none appears for the Respondent No.2, then this Court will proceed with the hearing of the Anticipatory Bail Application.

4. Ad interim protection, granted earlier, shall remain in operation till next date.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]