

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1002 OF 2025**

Aniket Vinayak Ranawade

...APPLICANT

State of Maharashtra

...RESPONDENT

**VISHAL  
SUBHASH  
PAREKAR**

Digitally signed by  
VISHAL SUBHASH  
PAREKAR  
Date: 2025.06.30  
11:04:11 +0530

Adv. Amey Prakash Sheth, for the Applicant.

Adv. Ajay Patil, APP for the State.

Mr. A.G. Pawar, API, Wakad police station, Pimpri-Chinchwad.

...

**CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.**

**DATED : APRIL 16, 2025**

**P.C.:**

1. Present application has been e-filed by applicant namely Aniket Ranawade under section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suaksha Sanhita (BNSS) for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No. 65 of 2025 registered with Wakad police station, Pune as he is having apprehension of his arrest for non-bailable offences, punishable under sections 118(1), 115(2) and 352 r/w.3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
2. Based on the complaint filed, a F.I.R has been lodged. The role of the present applicant has been mentioned in the said F.I.R. The present applicant is accused No. 2. There are in all 3 accused. Accused No. 1 (Niwas Kashikar) has been granted regular bail by the Judicial Magistrate First Class. Accused No. 3 (Aruna Ranawade), the mother of the applicant has been granted pre-arrest bail by the Sessions Court.
3. It is submitted on behalf of the applicant that there are no

***This is a corrected order as per Speaking to Minutes order dated 25-06-2025.***

antecedents as far as the present applicant is concerned. As far as the allegations in the F.I.R, the major role was played by accused No. 1 (Niwas Kashikar). Accused No. 1 has been granted regular bail by the J.M.F.C. The present applicant is ready to cooperate with the police. The alleged offence is out of a road fight between the informant and the accused persons. There was no pre-intention to hurt the first informant. The applicant will not tamper and/or hamper with the prosecution witnesses. There is no chance to fleeing away. The custody of the present applicant is not necessary.

4. Mr. Patil, the learned APP submits that the presence of the present applicant would be necessary in order to investigate the crime.

5. I have heard learned counsel for both the sides and have considered the documents on record. The present crime is registered under sections 118(1), 115(2) and 352 read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita. The major role as per the F.I.R. has been played by accused No. 1 (Niwas Kashikar), due to which the informant sustained injuries on his body.

6. Mr. Amey Sheth, learned advocate for the applicant submitted that in order to facilitate the investigation, without admitting the guilt, the car in which the applicant was travelling would be surrendered to the investigating officer today itself. There is no antecedents as far as

***This is a corrected order as per Speaking to Minutes order dated 25-06-2025.***

the present applicant is concerned. Accused No. 1 has played a major role. He has been granted regular bail.

7. Hence, prima facie as of today, the case is made out, for granting pre-arrest bail to the present applicant. The present anticipatory bail application is allowed. Hence, the following order :-

**ORDER**

(a) **The anticipatory bail application is allowed.**

(b) In the event of arrest in connection with Crime No. 65/2025 registered with Wakad Police Station, Pimpri-Chinchwad, for the offences punishable under Sections 118(1), 115(2) and 352 read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, the applicant shall be released on bail, on furnishing P.R. bond to the extent of Rs.30,000/- with one or more sureties of the like amount.

(c) The applicant shall co-operate with the investigation and attend and meet the Investigating Officer of the concerned Police Station as and when called by the Investigating Officer.

(d) The applicant should not directly or indirectly contact the first informant in any form whatsoever.

(e) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make

***This is a corrected order as per Speaking to Minutes order dated 25-06-2025.***

any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with facts of case so as to dissuade him from disclosing the facts to Court or any Police Officer and further, the applicants shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner whatsoever.

(f) The applicant shall furnish details of his residential addresses, contact numbers and e-mail addresses to the Investigating Officer.

(g) Needless to say, violation of any of the aforesaid conditions would make the applicant liable to face proceedings for cancellation of anticipatory bail. It is clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the question of grant of anticipatory bail to the applicants in the present application, and that the trial Court shall proceed further, without being influenced by the observations made in this order.

(h) Motor Car bearing No. MH-14-LJ-2114 be handed over to the Investigating Officer today itself.

**The anticipatory bail applications are disposed of.**

**(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)**

*This is a corrected order as per Speaking to Minutes order dated 25-06-2025.*