

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.929/2025

SANDIP AKARAM SURYAWANSHI ...APPLICANT
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR. ...RESPONDENTS

...
Adv. Laxman K. Kalel i/b Satish Sakat for the Applicant.
Adv. Avinash A. Naik APP for the Respondent State.
PSI Sachin Shendkar, Vita Police Station.
...

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.
DATED : MAY 5, 2025

P.C.:

1. The present pre-arrest bail application is filed by the applicant in C. R. No.97/2025 registered with Vita Police Station for the offences punishable under Section 420, 408, 465, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

2. Mr. Kalel, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has deposited a sum of Rs. 1,30,000/- in the Registry of this Court, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties. He submits that the first informant has already been served by private notice and affidavit of service to that effect would be filed in the Registry within a period of one week from today. He submits that the applicant has co-operated with the investigating officer and the

applicant does not have any criminal antecedents and in the present crime the offences as alleged are of documentary in nature. Hence, the custody of the present applicant would not be necessary.

3. The learned APP submits that the investigating officer has instructed him that they had contacted the first informant informing him about the next date of hearing of the present application. The said first informant has informed the investigating officer that unless and until the Court proceedings are received, he will not attend the present proceedings. The learned APP submits that specimen signature of the present applicant would be necessary for verification purpose.

4. Considering the allegations made in the FIR and the fact that the applicant without admitting the guilt has deposited a sum of Rs. 1,30,000/- in this Court and also considering the fact that there are no criminal antecedents against the present applicant. I am convinced that as of today, the custody of the present applicant would not be necessary. Hence, I pass the following order.

ORDER

(a) **The anticipatory bail application is allowed.**

(b) In the event of arrest in connection with Crime No. 97/2025 registered with Vita Police Station, the applicant shall be released on bail, on furnishing PR. bond to the

extent of **Rs.30,000/-** with one or more sureties of the like amount.

(c) The applicant shall co-operate with the investigation and attend and meet the investigating officer on every Monday between 11.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. till filing of the charge-sheet.

(d) The applicant shall also attend the police station and give his specimen signature to the investigating officer in order to send it for verification purpose. The said act to be done on 19 May 2025.

(e) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with facts of case so as to dissuade him from disclosing the facts to Court or any Police Officer and further, the applicant shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner whatsoever.

(f) The applicant shall furnish details of his residential addresses, contact numbers and e-mail addresses to the investigating officer.

5. Needless to say, violation of any of the aforesaid conditions would make the applicant liable to face proceedings for cancellation of anticipatory bail. It is clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the question of grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant in the present application, and that the trial Court shall proceed further,

without being influenced by the observations made in this order.

6. **The anticipatory bail application is disposed off.**

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)