



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 822/2025

RAM LAKHAN YADAV

..APPLICANT

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

..RESPONDENT

Mr. P. R. Dave a/w. Mr. P. P. Kumawat for the applicant.

Mr. A. A. Naik, APP for State.

PI Pradeep Kale, Borivali Police Station, Mumbai.

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : APRIL 2, 2025.

P.C. :

1. The learned APP and the IO are not ready with this matter since any question put to them they are not able to answer them. On the last occasion this Court has specifically put questions to the learned APP when the IO was present whether the statement of the secretary, manager and treasure of the co-operative housing society in which the subject property is situated are recorded or not ?

2. So also, a specific query was put to the learned APP whether the statement of the adjacent shop owner has been recorded. Sadly, today same questions are put by this Court, but there are no answers

from the learned APP and the IO. I have no option but to adjourn the matter looking at the gravity of the offence, I am not able to decide this matter today.

3. Stand over to **9/4/2025** under the caption **“for urgent circulation”**.

4. Since the learned APP and the IO are not able to show any direct evidence in the form of any video footage or any other evidence to show that same kind of an illegal business of the prostitution as alleged in the FIR was being conducted in the subject premises, the applicant needs to be protected from being arrested till the next date of the hearing.

5. No coercive steps be taken against the applicant till next date of the hearing.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)