



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 804/2025

GANESH GOVIND RAYKAR

...APPLICANT

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

...RESPONDENTS

...

Adv. Sanjeev Kadam, Senior Counsel i/b Somnath Thengal for the Applicant.

Adv. Ajay S. Patil, APP for the Respondent State.

PSI Rajesh Gaikwad, PSI Swapnali Gaikwad, Nanded City Police Station.

...

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.

DATED : MAY 6, 2025

P.C.:

1. Vide the present application under Section 482 of B.N.S.S. 2023 of claiming pre-arrest bail as the applicant is apprehending his arrest in Crime No.52/2025 registered with Police Station Nanded City, Pune, for the offences punishable under Sections 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 115(1), 351(2) & 352 of B. N. S. 2023.

2. Mr. Kadam, learned senior counsel for the applicant, submits that both the applicant and the informant are from the legal profession. He states that, in the FIR, the entire chamber of the applicant has been implicated, including the female employees working

in the applicant's chamber. He further submits that his client has handed over his mobile phone along with its password and his laptop along with its password to the investigating officer. He submits that the applicant is a practicing advocate and has co-operated with the police. The applicant is ready to attend the police station as and when called.

3. The learned APP submits that on the earlier occasion, the complainant has sought time since, she was appearing for her law examination. He submits that the law examination is over and now, the complainant is not picking up the phone calls of the investigating officer. He submits that since, the applicant has already co-operated with the police and the investigation is almost completed, the custody of the present applicant would not be necessary.

4. After hearing the counsel for the parties and considering the documents on record and the fact that the applicant has co-operated with the investigating officer by handing over all his electronic gadgets including laptop and mobile phone along with its passwords, in my view, the custody of the present applicant, who is a practicing lawyer would not be necessary. Hence, I pass the following order.

ORDER

- (a) **The anticipatory bail application is allowed.**
- (b) In the event of arrest in connection with Crime No.

52/2025 registered with Nanded City Police Station, Pune the applicant shall be released on bail, on furnishing P.R. bond to the extent of **Rs.30,000/-** with one or more sureties of the like amount.

(c) The applicant shall co-operate with the investigation and attend and meet the investigating officer on 13 May 2025 and 14 May 2025 between 11.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. and thereafter as and when called for.

(d) The applicant shall not contact the first informant/her family members or witnesses, in any form whatsoever.

(e) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with facts of case so as to dissuade him from disclosing the facts to Court or any Police Officer and further, the applicant shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner whatsoever.

(f) The applicant shall furnish details of his residential addresses, contact numbers and e-mail addresses to the investigating officer.

5. Needless to say, violation of any of the aforesaid conditions would make the applicant liable to face proceedings for cancellation of anticipatory bail. It is clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the question of grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant in the present application, and that the trial Court shall proceed further,

without being influenced by the observations made in this order.

6. **The anticipatory bail application is disposed off.**

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)