

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 221 OF 2025

Ramesh Ramdular Yadav

...Applicant

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra

...Respondent

.....
Adv. Kuldeep S. Patil a/w. Adv. Ashish Kumar Srivastava i/b. Adv. Saili
Dhuru, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Nitin B. Patil, APP for the State.

Ms. Smita Pawar, API Uran Police Station, Navi Mumbai.

....

CORAM: RAJESH S. PATIL,J.

DATE : 27 JANUARY 2025.

P.C:-

1. The learned APP submits that there are around 13 cases registered against the present Applicant. He further submits that he intends to bring on record the various cases pending against the present Applicant. He also submits that *modus operandi* in the present proceedings has been followed by the Applicant in numerous other cases.

2. The learned APP seeks one week time to file reply. Time

granted.

3. Mr. Patil, the learned advocate for the Applicant submits that he will add the informant as a party Respondent. The Applicant is directed to add the Informant as a party Respondent. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

4. The Investigation Officer is directed to serve copy of this Application on the Informant.

5. Since the Applicant has already been granted Anticipatory Bail by this Court in three different proceedings, and so has also been granted interim relief in one more proceeding.

6. Stand over to 10th February, 2025. The matter to come up under the caption of “Circulation”.

7. The learned APP, on oral instructions, from the officer present in the Court, submits that no coercive steps will be taken against the Applicant till the next date of the hearing.

(RAJESH S. PATIL,J)