

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 164 OF 2025

Suvarna Basawraj Charkai

...Applicant

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra

...Respondent

....

Adv. Akshay P. Jadhav a/w. Adv. Manjula C., Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Avinash A. Naik, APP for the State.

Mr. Shubham Gangan a/w. Adv. Omkar Chitale, Advocate for the Complainant.

....

CORAM: RAJESH S. PATIL,J.

DATE : 27 JANUARY 2025.

P.C:-

1. Mr. Shubham Gangan, the learned Advocate for the Complainant submits that he has received instructions from his client to appear on behalf of the Complainant-Vijaya Pawar. He submits that his client has not been added as a party Respondent in the present proceedings. He submits that it is necessary to add Vijaya Pawar as party Respondent and to be heard before the Anticipatory Bail Application is decided.

2. Leave granted to the Applicant to add Vijaya Pawar as a party Respondent in the present proceedings. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.
3. The learned APP seeks one week time to file short reply. Time granted. Parties are also permitted to file reply before the next date of the hearing.
- 4.. Applicant is also permitted to file additional affidavit in the present application.
5. The learned APP, on instructions, from the Officer present in the Court, submits that the Police will not arrest till the next date of the hearing. The applicant agrees to co-operate with the investigation as and when required by the Police. .
6. Stand over to 4th February, 2025. The matter to come up under the caption of “Circulation”.

(RAJESH S. PATIL,J)