

Ajit Pathrikar

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1937 OF 2025**

Johir Uddin Suraj Ali @ Sahil ...Applicant
Versus
State Of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

Mr. Pramod S. Kumbhar, *for the Applicant.*
Ms. Anamika Malhotra, *APP for the State-Respondent No.1.*
Mr. Sumedh Modak, *for Respondent No.2 (Legal Aid Counsel)*
PSI – Nitin Kamble, *Pairavi Adhikari, Amboli Police Station, is present.*

CORAM Dr. Neela Gokhale, J.
DATED: 29th September 2025

PC:-

1. After hearing the matter for some time, when I was not inclined to grant relief, Mr. Pramod Kumbhar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, sought permission to withdraw the present bail application.

2. Mr. Sumedh Modak, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2, submits that the mother of the victim is not inclined to continue the prosecution. Be that as it may, the victim was

only 14 years of age at the time of the incident and the Applicant was 28 years of age at that time. Considering the dominant influence that the Applicant may have exercised over the victim, I indicated to the Counsel for the Applicant my inclination not to grant relief in the present bail application. In these circumstances, he sought permission to withdraw the bail application.

3. Permission to withdraw is granted.

4. The Trial Court is directed to frame charges within the next six months and expedite the trial at the earliest.

(Dr. Neela Gokhale, J)