

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1226 OF 2025**

|                          |               |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Dilip Lalchand Pardeshi  | ...Applicant  |
| Versus                   |               |
| The State of Maharashtra | ...Respondent |

....

Mr. Murtaza Nazmi a/w Mr. Mustafa Shabbir Shamim and  
Ms. Prasanna Pawar i/by Shamim & Co., Advocate for the  
Applicant.

Mr. S. V. Walve, A.P.P. for the Respondent – State.

....

|              |          |                                 |
|--------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| <b>CORAM</b> | <b>:</b> | <b>N. R. BORKAR, J.</b>         |
| <b>DATE</b>  | <b>:</b> | <b>8<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025</b> |

**P.C.:**

1. This is an application for regular bail.
2. The applicant came to be arrested in Crime No. 198 of 2024 registered at Malegaon Chhavani Police Station, District : Nashik (Rural) for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 201 & 120(B) read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. The complainant has filed a suit in relation to the ancestral property against his relative, Lalchand Pardeshi and Hiralal Pardeshi. According to the complainant, he obtained certain documents for the purpose of the said suit and one of

the documents, which he received was a Relinquishment Deed dated 21.03.2012, allegedly executed by his relatives Hansobai and Bayyobai in favour of Lalchand Pardeshi and Hiralal Pardesh in respect of their shares in the ancestral property in question. It is alleged that Bayyobai had died on 03.05.2002 and thus there was no question of her executing a Relinquishment Deed on 21.03.2012. The allegations against the present applicant, who is the son of Lalchand are that he had purchased the stamp paper for execution of Relinquishment Deed and identified the impersonator.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.P.P for the Respondent-State.

5. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has nothing to do with the alleged crime. It is submitted that the applicant is not the beneficiary of the alleged Relinquishment Deed. It is submitted that the applicant is in jail for nine months and there are no other criminal antecedents.

6. On the other hand, the learned A.P.P for the Respondent-State submits that the involvement of the

applicant in the alleged crime is apparent as the stamp paper was purchased by him and he identified the impersonator. It is submitted that considering the nature of offence, the applicant may not be released on bail.

7. The applicant is not the beneficiary of the alleged Relinquishment Deed. The applicant is in jail for nine months and there are no criminal antecedents. Considering the said facts, I am inclined to release the applicant on bail.

### **ORDER**

- (i) Criminal Bail Application is allowed;
- (ii) The applicant is directed to be released on bail in connection with Crime No. 198 of 2024 registered at Malegaon Chhavani Police Station, District : Nashik (Rural) for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 201 & 120(B) read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, on executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount;
- (iii) The applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station once in a month i.e. on first Saturday between 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. till conclusion of trial;
- (iv) Application stands disposed of accordingly.

**(N. R. BORKAR, J.)**