

GAYATRI
RAJENDRA
SHIMPI
Digitally signed
by GAYATRI
RAJENDRA
SHIMPI
Date:
2025.08.13
21:34:57 +0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1202 OF 2025

Daddya @ Vishal Mariba Kamble .. Applicant
Vs.
State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

.....
Mr. Aniket Nikam i/b Mr. Vaibhav Kulkarni(Through VC) a/w Mr. Abhishek Zare, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Priyal Sarda a/w Shubham Sane, Rajesh Ranglani & Seems D., for Intervener.

Ms. Anamika Malhotra, APP for the Respondent-State.

.....
CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

DATE : 12th AUGUST, 2025.

P.C.:

1. Mr. Aniket Nikam, learned Advocate for the Applicant during the midst of the argument, submitted that the prosecution, which is placing heavy reliance on the CCTV footage Panchnama, has neither produced the transcript nor the CCTV footage to the Accused. Upon this submission being made, Ms. Anamika Malhotra, submits that the said issue can be resolved if the CCTV footage is shown to the Applicants in the presence of the Investigation Officer. Mr. Aniket Nikam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and the other Advocates in the connected Bail Applications are agreeable to the said suggestion. They submit that the CCTV footage will be viewed by fixing a date and time convenient to the parties. They therefore requests for deferring the further hearing of this Application up to 22nd August, 2025.

- 2.** At the request of the parties, list the matter on **22nd August, 2025.**

[ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.]