

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent – State.
5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that with ulterior motive false allegations are made against the present applicant. It is submitted that the investigation is over and there is nothing to show that the applicant was illegally doing the business of money lending. It is submitted that the applicant is in jail for more than 2 months. It is accordingly, submitted that the applicant may be released on bail.
6. On the other hand, learned APP for the respondent/State submits that considering the nature of offence, the applicant may not be released on bail.
7. The applicant is in jail for more than two months. There are no other antecedents against the applicant. Considering the overall facts and circumstances, I am inclined to release the applicant on bail. In the result, the following order is passed.

ORDER

- A] The Application is allowed.
- B] The applicant be released on bail in C.R. No. 442 of 2024 registered at Jejuri Police Station, Pune Rural for the offences punishable under Sections 308(2), 309(4), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sections 39, 45 & 46 of the Maharashtra Money Lending Act on furnishing P.R Bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with one or two sureties in the like amount.

[N.R.BORKAR, J.]