

Inpulse Designs and Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	...	Applicant
versus		
State of Maharashtra and Anr.	...	Respondents

Ms. Pooja Agarwal (through VC) for Applicant.
Mrs. R.S.Tendulkar, APP for State.

CORAM: N.J.JAMADAR, J.

DATE : 6 OCTOBER 2025

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant.
2. The Applicant has an alternate remedy of preferring a revision against the impugned order.
3. Prima facie, the facts appear to be such that the revisional remedy would be efficacious. The application stands disposed with liberty to the applicant to approach the revisional Court.
4. The time spent by the Applicant in prosecuting this application may be accounted for under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963.
5. In the event, the application for condonation of delay is filed, the learned Sessions Judge is requested to decide the said application for condonation of delay as expeditiously as possible.

(N.J.JAMADAR, J.)