

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.628 OF 2025

Anjum Hanif Saifi Applicant
versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents

**WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION (ST) NO.20201 OF 2025**

Meena Hanif Saifi & Anr. Petitioners
versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents

.....

- Mr. Arun Rajput a/w Mushtaq Shaikh, Advocate for Applicant and Petitioners.
- Ms. Sharmila S. Kaushik, APP for the State/Respondent.
- Ms. Shreya Shrivastava, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
SHYAM C. CHANDAK, JJ.**
DATE : 08th OCTOBER, 2025

P.C. :

1. Both these matters are disposed of by this common order today because they arise out of the same FIR and the charge-sheet. Both these matters are filed for quashing of the FIR bearing C.R.No.737/2024 registered with Oshiwara Police Station u/s 509, 506, 323, 427 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code

resulting in Case No.PW/2097/2025 pending before the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Andheri Railway Mobile Court, at Andheri.

2. Heard Mr. Arun Rajput, learned Counsel for the Petitioners, Ms. Shreya Shrivastava, learned counsel for the Respondent No.2 and Ms. Sharmila S. Kaushik, learned APP for the State.
3. Learned counsel for the Respondent No.2 is permitted to file her Vakilpatra in the registry.
4. The parties are related to each other. The FIR is lodged by the Respondent No.2 Kishwar Shabbir. She is mother-in-law of the Applicant Anjum in Criminal Application No.628 of 2025. The Petitioners in the other Petition are the mother and brother-in-law of Anjum. The FIR is lodged by Kishwar. The first informant Kishwar has stated in her FIR dated 30/06/2024 that Anjum got married with the informant's son Junaid on 17/11/2023. The behaviour of Anjum was never peaceful. She used to pick up quarrel and used to threaten the informant's

family. On 21/05/2024, Anjum picked up quarrel with the informant's son Junaid. According to the Respondent No.2 Anjum herself tore her own clothes and called the police. The police came to their house. They were followed by the Petitioners Meena and Adil. There are allegations that all the three accused assaulted and uttered words which attracted sections lodged in this case. On this basis the FIR was lodged.

5. The charge-sheet contains statements of the informant's son and Anjum's husband Junaid, who has supported the allegations in the FIR.

6. Now the parties have arrived at the settlement. The Respondent No.2 Kishwar in both these matters has filed a common affidavit. She has stated in her affidavit that the dispute is amicably settled and that she has no objection for quashing of these proceedings. The informant Kishwar is present in the Court. She is identified by her learned counsel. She reiterated the contents of her affidavit before the Court. She has stated that she has no objection for quashing of these proceedings.

7. Considering that the matter is settled between the parties, who were related to each other and since the Respondent No.2 has given her no objection to quash these proceedings, no purpose will be served in continuation of the prosecution. Therefore, we are inclined to allow these matters.

8. Hence, the following order :

ORDER

(i) The FIR vide C.R.No.737/2024 dated 30/06/2024 registered with Oshiwara Police Station u/s 509, 506, 323, 427 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code and the resultant Case No.PW/2097/2025 pending before the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Andheri Railway Mobile Court, at Andheri, are quashed and set aside.

(ii) The Petition is disposed of.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)