

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****CRIMINAL APPLICATION (A.P.L.) NO. 584 OF 2025**

Lance 1000 Global Private Limited

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

Mr. Vaibhav Rajam for Applicant.

Mr. Vinod Chate, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Amrin Mujawar (Through V.C.) for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : A. S. GADKARI AND
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.****DATE : 9th July 2025.****P.C. :**

1) It will not be out of way to place a fact on record that, to the queries put by this Court, the learned Advocate for Applicant was unable to answer.

2) Present Application is filed only by Applicant, i.e. Accused No.1, for quashing of the crime in question with the consent of Respondent No.2.

2.1) By now it is the settled position of law that, a crime cannot be quashed in part qua certain accused persons, even by consent of the informant. Reliance is placed in the case of *Sunita Pankaj Shah Vs. State of Maharashtra*, 2024 ALL MR (Cri) 2945.

3) In view thereof and facing with the said legal difficulty, learned Advocate for Applicant on instructions seeks leave to withdraw Application unconditionally.

3.1) Leave granted.

4) Dismissed as withdrawn.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)

OMKAR
SHIVAHAR
KUMBHAKARN

Digitally signed
by OMKAR
SHIVAHAR
KUMBHAKARN
Date:
2025.07.14
11:32:47
+0530