

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 70 OF 2025

Prachi Ramnath Bouddha ..Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents

Mr. Amandeep Singh for Applicant.
Mr. B. V. Holambe Patil, APP for State/Respondent.
Mr. Akash Kavade for the Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
S. M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 15 APRIL 2025

PC :

1. This application is filed for quashing of the proceedings arising out of C.R.No.260 of 2024 at Tilak Nagar police station, on 11.06.2024, under sections 500 and 506 of the I.P.C. and under sections 66(E) and 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000. During pendency of this application, now the charge-sheet is filed and prayer is made for quashing of the entire proceedings. The parties have settled the matter and, therefore, we are entertaining this application, at this stage.

2. The Applicant on her part had lodged her own F.I.R.

against the Respondent No.2 herein vide C.R.No.123 of 2024 registered at Tilak Nagar police station, on 22.03.2024, under sections 376(2)(n) and 417 of the I.P.C. It is quashed by a separate order passed today in a separate proceedings i.e. Criminal Application No.75 of 2025.

3. In the present case, the F.I.R. lodged by the Respondent No.2 mentions that, both of them got in touch with each other through a matrimonial site. They exchanged their information. They decided to get married. Both of them were introduced to their respective families. They had become intimate. They had shared their intimate private photographs and videos with each other. It is mentioned in the F.I.R. that he had gone to Jabalpur to stay. At that time, they came together physically. Subsequently, he realized that the Applicant was suspecting the first informant regarding his friendship with other girls. Slowly the disputes arose between both of them and finally the marriage was broken. However, she did not take it lightly and started threatening the first informant. She threatened to make his photographs and videos viral. On this main allegation the F.I.R. was lodged. Though,

the charge-sheet is now filed, the main allegations are contained in the F.I.R. and, therefore, we are deciding this application based on the F.I.R. The parties have settled the matter. As mentioned earlier, the F.I.R. lodged against the Respondent No.2 herein is quashed by a separate order passed today in a separate proceedings i.e. Criminal Application No.75 of 2025. In the present application, the Respondent No.2 has filed his Affidavit giving his no objection for quashing of the present proceedings. He is identified by his learned counsel. He reiterated the contents in the Affidavit. It is mentioned in the Affidavit that, they have mutually decided to withdraw both the F.I.Rs. initiated against each other. Both of them are leading their private life. In fact, the Applicant is now already married and living happy married life. In this view of the matter, no purpose will be served in continuation with the prosecution. It would be in the interest of both the parties that the prosecution is quashed. The society at large is not involved. It was purely a personal and private matter between the parties.

4. Hence, the following order:

O R D E R

- i) The F.I.R. registered vide C.R.No.260 of 2024 at Tilak Nagar police station, on 11.06.2024, under sections 500 and 506 of the I.P.C. and under sections 66(E) and 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 and the entire proceedings, are quashed and set aside.
- ii) The Application is disposed of.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)