

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.935 OF 2025

Amar Niranjan Kanojiya ...Appellant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.937 OF 2025**

Chetan Prithviraj Bore ...Appellant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.938 OF 2025**

Dipak Shantilal Bhandari ...Appellant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

Mr.Vikram Chavan a/w Ms.Sejal i/b. M/s.C.K.Legal:-	Advocate for Appellants in all the Cr.Appeals.
Mr.H.J.Dedhia:-	APP for Respondent – State.
Mr.Pratik Kalantri a/w Mr.Shyam Badade and Ms.Renuka Shukla:-	Advocates for Respondent No.2.
Mr.Dattatray Eknath Gode – PSI:-	Mumbai Naka Police Station– Nashik.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 8th OCTOBER 2025

P.C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Appellants and learned APP.
2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 intends to file Vakalatnama and Reply. Let both be filed earlier to the next date.
3. There is an apprehension expressed on behalf of the Respondent No.2 that there are several employees employed by the employer whose statements are going to be recorded by the Police, apprehends that the some action be initiated against them. Learned Advocate for the Appellants assures that no action will be taken against them simply because they have given statements to the Police. He submits that the right of the Appellants to take action independently will not be curtailed.
4. The ad-interim relief, granted earlier, to continue till the next date.
5. Stand over to **7th November 2025.**

[S. M. MODAK, J.]