

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 926 OF 2025
(SERIAL NO. 4)**

Abhimanyu Singh Shaktawat ...Appellant

Vs.

State Of Maharashtra And Anr. ...Respondents

**ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL (ST) NO. 18072 OF 2025
(SERIAL NO. 6)**

Kratika Abhimanyu Shaktawat
And Anr ...Appellants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra And Anr. ...Respondents

Adv. Rohan Sawant a/w Adv. Vilasini Balasubramanian i/by Adv. Pratik Deshmukh	Advocate for the Appellants
Adv. Piyush Toshnival	Advocate for the Respondent No. 2
Mr. H. J. Dedhia	APP for Respondent-State
API Saheba Pote	Kharadi Police Station, Pune City

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 17th SEPTEMBER 2025

P.C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Appellants, learned APP and learned Advocate for the first informant. He undertakes to file vakalatnama earlier to the next date. Let copy of this appeal memo be served on him. He is appearing on his own. If he wants he can file affidavit-in-reply alongwith relevant documents.

2. The Court of the Additional Sessions Judge, Pune has rejected the anticipatory bail application filed by these Appellants. It is true that there is also F.I.R. registered against first informant and others. Even in the F.I.R. in which anticipatory bail is sought, several incidents are mentioned. It is also true that there is some dispute on account of payment of license fee. The present Appellant-Abhimanyu is licensee, whereas first informant is licensor. It is true that though the Appellant Abhimanyu has given legal notice thereby making some money demand. I am inclined to grant time to first informant. In the interest of the justice, I am hearing this appeal finally instead of having certain observations while seeking reliefs on interim application.

3. So both matters be kept on **26th September 2025**. It is made clear that no adjournment will be granted.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]