

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.396 OF 2025

Dadu @ Vrushant Balasaheb Sudke

...Appellant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra
Through Investigating Officer, Manmad
Police Station, Manmad and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr.Jayendra D. Khairnar:-	Advocate for Appellant.
Ms.S.K.Gajare:-	APP for Respondent No.1 -State.
Ms.Chaitali Bhogle i/b. Mr.Sagar Kasar:-	Advocate for Respondent No.2.
Shri.Balu Vishwanath Sangle-ASI:	Manmad Police Station – Nashik Rural.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 8th SEPTEMBER 2025

P. C. :-

1. Today the arguing Counsel is not available. An adjournment is sought. The Appellant undertakes to rely upon certain medical case papers showing injury caused to him. There is one paper in the charge-sheet but not all medical papers. Its copies are given to learned APP on the last date. She has given instructions to the Police to verify about those documents. Today Shri.Balu Vishwanath Sangle – ASI is present.

However, he is not aware about those documents. Learned APP seeks time to take instructions. Let her take instructions from the Police about those documents.

2. The submission of Mr.Khairnar is if these documents showing the injury caused to the Appellant are considered, the version of the First-Informant in the FIR cannot be totally believed. Let its set be given to the learned Advocate for the First-Informant. Mr.Khairnar assures to file those copies in the Court on the next date.

3. Stand over to **17th September 2025**.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]